ROSSETI KUBAN, PJSC

APPENDIX 1

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE OF PJSC ROSSETI KUBAN WITH THE PRINCIPLES AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CODE APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE BANK OF RUSSIA ON 21 MARCH 2014 AND RECOMMENDED FOR USE BY THE BANK OF RUSSIA (LETTER OF THE BANK OF RUSSIA NO. 06–52/2463 DATED 10 APRIL 2014)

The Board of Directors certifies that the Report contains complete and accurate information on the Company's compliance with the principles and recommendations of the Corporate Governance Code of the Bank of Russia for 2021.

PJSC Rosseti Kuban performs Corporate Governance Code compliance assessment as per the guidelines recommended by the Bank of Russia in Letter No. IN-06–28/102 dated 27 December 2021 on the Disclosure of Information on the Compliance with the Principles and Recommendations of the Corporate Governance Code in the Annual Report of a Public Joint Stock Company.

This Report is an appendix to the Annual Report of PJSC Rosseti Kuban for 2021, which describes the most significant aspects of the corporate governance model and practices.

ANNUAL REPORT — 2021

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

ROSSETI KUBAN, PJSC

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
1.1.6	The procedure for holding the general meeting established in the company provides all persons present at the meeting with equal opportunities for expressing their opinions and asking questions.	 When general meetings of shareholders were held in the reporting period in the form of a meeting (joint presence of shareholders), sufficient time was provided for reports on agenda items and time for discussion thereof, and shareholders were given the opportunity to express their opinions and ask questions of interest on the agenda. The company invited candidates to the management and control bodies of the company and took all necessary steps to ensure their participation in the general meeting of shareholders, at which their nominations were put to a vote. The nominees to the management and control bodies of the company who were present at the general meeting of shareholders were available to answer questions from shareholders. The sole executive body, the accounting officer, the chairman or other members of the audit committee of the board of directors were available to answer questions from shareholders at the general meetings of shareholders held during the reporting period. In the reporting period, the company used telecommunication means for remote access of shareholders to participate in general meetings, or the board of directors made a reasonable decision that there was no need (possibility) to use such means in the reporting period. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the annual general meeting of shareholders of pisc rosseti kuban in the reporting period was held in the form of absentee voting, so the above criteria for assessing the compliance with this principle of corporate governance are not applicable.
1.2	The shareholders were given equa a proportion of the company's prof	l and equitable opportunities to receive it as dividends.		
1.2.1	The company has developed and implemented a transparent and clear mechanism for determining the amount of dividends and their payment.	 The company's regulation on the dividend policy was approved by the board of directors and disclosed on the company's website. If the dividend policy of a company compiling the consolidated financial statements uses the indicators of the company's statements to determine the amount of dividends, then the appropriate provisions of the dividend policy account for the consolidated indicators of the financial statements. Justification of the proposed distribution of net profit, including the payment of dividends and the company's own needs, and assessment of its compliance with the dividend policy adopted by the company, with explanations and economic justification of the need to direct a certain portion of net profit to its own needs in the reporting period were included in the materials for the general meeting of shareholders, the agenda of which includes an item on profit distribution (including the payment (declaration) of dividends). 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	Criterion 1 is complied with. Criterion 2 is complied with. Criterion 3 is not complied with due to a loss from the Company's performance in 2020; therefore, the Board of Directors of the Company recommended to the annual General Meeting of Shareholders not to pay dividends on the Company's ordinary shares for 2020. Non-compliance is time-limited. Since the Company generated net profit for 2022, the materials for the annual General Meeting of Shareholders of the Company, the agenda of which includes an item on profit distribution (including the payment (declaration) of dividends), include a justification of the proposed distribution of net profit.
1.2.2	The company does not make a decision on dividend payment if such a decision is economically unfeasible and may create a misleading impression as to the company's operations, despite formal compliance of such decision with the legislation.	The regulations on company's dividend policy, in addition to the statutory restrictions, defines the financial/economic circumstances the company should not decide to pay dividends under.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
1.2.3	The company does not allow a deterioration in terms of dividend rights of existing shareholders.	In the reporting period, the company did not take any actions causing a deterioration in terms of dividend rights of existing shareholders.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	

ANNUAL REPORT — 2021

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
1.2.4	The company aims to ensure that shareholders do not have any other ways to receive profit (income) from the company, except as dividends and liquidation value.	 During the reporting period, there were no other ways other than dividends for the entities who has control over the company to receive profit (income) at the company's expense (e.g. through transfer pricing, unjustified provision of services to the company by the controlling entity at inflated prices, through internal loans substituting dividends to the controlling entities and (or) its controlled entities). 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
1.3		and practices should ensure and equitable treatment of all shareholders), including minority (small) shareholders ompany.		
1.3.1	The company made sure that its governing bodies and controlling entities treat each and every shareholder fairly, while also preventing abuse of minority shareholders by major shareholders.	 During the reporting period, the company's controlling entities did not abuse their rights in relation to the company's shareholders, there were no conflicts between the company's controlling entities and the company's shareholders, and if there were, the board of directors paid due attention to the same. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
1.3.2	The company does not take any actions which cause or may cause artificial redistribution of corporate control.	There were no quasi-treasury shares in the company or they did not participate in voting in the reporting period.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
1.4	Shareholders have reliable and eff to freely and quickly dispose of the	ective methods to record ownership of shares and an opportunity eir shares.		
1.4	Shareholders have reliable and effective methods to record ownership of shares and an opportunity to freely and quickly dispose of their shares.	The technologies and service conditions of the company's registrar meet the needs of the company and its shareholders and ensure that shareholder rights are regarded and exercised in the most efficient manner.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
2.1	the basic principles and approache	le for the strategic management of the company; it formulates s to the development of the risk management and internal control system, ny's executive bodies and performs other core functions.		
2.1.1	The board of directors is responsible for making decisions related to the appointment and dismissal of executive bodies, including due to improper performance of their functions. The board of directors also ensures that the company's executive bodies act in accordance with the approved development strategy and the company's key areas of business.	 The board of directors has the power to appoint and dismiss members of executive bodies, as well as to determine the terms and conditions of their contracts; those powers are stipulated in the articles of association. During the reporting period, the nominations (appointments, personnel)¹ committee reviewed the compliance of the professional qualifications, skills and experience of the members of the executive bodies in respect of the current and expected needs of the company as dictated by the approved strategy of the company. In the reporting period, the board of directors reviewed the report(s) of the sole executive body and the collegial executive body (if any) on the implementation of the company's strategy. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	Criterion 1 is complied with. Criterion 2 is partially complied with. The Personnel and Remuneration Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company considered issues on preliminary approval of candidates for key positions, as well as approval of candidates for election to the Management Board of the Company. Criterion 3 is partially complied with. The Company's strategy has not been approved as a unified document, thus, the strategy progress report of the sole executive body and members of the collective executive body was not reviewed by the Board of Directors in the reporting year. However, the Company works towards the compliance with the recommendations of the Code. According to Sub-clause 1 of Clause 15.1 of Article 15 of the Company's Articles of Associations, the Board of Directors competences include the determination of business priorities and strategy of the Company. According to Sub-clause 19 of Clause 15.1 of Article 15 of the Company's Articles of Association, the Board of Directors quarterly reviews the progress reports on the Company's business plan which is a strategic document. In addition, according to Sub-clause 34 of Clause 15.1 of Article 15 of the Company's Articles of Associations, the General Director regularly reports to the Board of Directors on the critical issues of the Company's activities, including the implementation of the resolutions of the Board of Directors. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company is intent on achieving the compliance with the elements of the Code in the future.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

documents.

of shareholders to the company's

Hereinafter referred to as the nominations committee

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
2.1.7	The board of directors oversees the company's corporate governance practice and plays a key role in the company's significant corporate events.	During the reporting period, the board of directors reviewed the results of a self- assessment and/or an external assessment of the company's corporate governance practices.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
2.2	The board of directors is accountal	ole to the company's shareholders.		
2.2.1	Information on the performance of the board of directors is disclosed and presented to shareholders.	 The annual report of the company for the reporting period includes information on attendance of meetings of the board of directors and committees by each of directors. the annual report contains the information on the key results of performance assessment (self-assessment) of the board of directors carried out in the reporting period. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	Criterion 1 is complied with. Criterion 2 is not complied with, as the performance of the Board of Directors of the Company was not assessed during the reporting year. Non-compliance is time-limited. On 9 February 2022, the Board of Directors of the Company decided to evaluate the performance of the Board of Directors of the Company and its Committees for the corporate year 2021–2022 in the form of a self-assessment. The Board of Directors of the Company plans to review the results of the self-assessment before the annual General Meeting of Shareholders in 2022.
2.2.2	The chairman of the board of directors is available for communication with the company's shareholders.	 The company has a transparent procedure in place to ensure that shareholders can send their enquires to and receive feedback from the chairman of the board of directors (and, where applicable, the senior independent director). 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
2.3		ve and professional governing body of the company endent judgments and take decisions d its shareholders.		
2.3.1	The members of the board of directors can only be persons having an impeccable business and personal reputation and the knowledge, skills and experience required for making decisions within the competence of the board of directors and for efficient performance of its functions.	In the reporting period, the board of directors (or the nomination committee) assessed nominees to the board of directors with regard to their experience, knowledge, business reputation, lack of conflict of interest, etc.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	During the reporting year, the Board of Directors and its Personnel and Remuneration Committee did not assess the nominees to the Board of Directors. However, data on the education and work experience of the nominees to the Board of Directors is included in the set of pre-reading materials of general meetings of shareholders and is published on the Company's official website. In addition, a questionnaire survey is conducted for the elected members of the Board of Directors to obtain detailed information on the Board members, their education, work experience, Company's shares ownership, positions held in other organisations, etc. Based on the analysis of the personal data, the current and previous composition of the Company's Board of Directors includes persons with high professional qualifications and work experience in various industries. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company intends to achieve the compliance with this criterion in the future.
2.3.2	The members of the board of directors are elected under a transparent procedure, through which shareholders can obtain information about the candidates to the extend sufficient to form an opinion about their personal and professional qualities.	1. In all cases when the agenda of a general meeting of shareholders held in the reporting period included the election to the board of directors, the company provided its shareholders with biographical details of all candidates for the board of directors, results of the assessment of their professional qualifications, skills and experience for the compliance with the current and expected needs of the company (such assessment to be carried out by the board of directors (or its nomination committee)), as well as information on whether the candidate met the criteria of independence as set forth in recommendations 102–107 of the Code and the written consent of the candidates for election to the board of directors.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	At the General Meeting of Shareholders, which were held in the reporting period and which agenda included the election of the Board of Directors, the Company did not present the shareholders the results of the Board of Directors' assessment of the candidates' professional qualifications, experience and skills in relation to the current and expected needs of the Company. In order to comply with this criterion, the Company intends to develop amendments to the Company's internal documents with regard to the said regulations. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company intends to achieve the compliance with this criterion in the future.

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
2.3.3	The composition of the board of directors is balanced, including in terms of its members' qualifications, experience, knowledge and business skills, and have shareholders' confidence.	 During the reporting period, the board of directors analysed its own needs in terms of professional qualifications, experience and skills and identified the competencies required by the board of directors in the short and long term. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	The performance assessment of the Board of Directors was not conducted in the reporting year. Non-compliance is time-limited. On 9 February 2022, the Board of Directors of the Company decided to assess the performance of the Board of Directors and its committees for the corporate year 2021–2022 in the form of a self-assessment.
2.3.4	The number of members of the company's board of directors makes it possible to organise its work most effectively, including the opportunity to form board committees, as well as gives the company's substantial minority shareholders an opportunity to elect their candidate to the board of directors.	During the reporting period, the board of directors considered whether the number of the board of directors' members meets the company's needs and the interests of shareholders.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	The issue of whether the number of members of the Board of Directors complies with the needs of the Company and the interests of the shareholders in the reporting period was not submitted for consideration by the Board of Directors of the Company as the Board of Directors had no relevant competence under the Federal Law On Joint Stock Companies and the Company's Articles of Association. The assessment of whether the number of members of the Board of Directors complies with the needs of the Company and the interests of shareholders in the reporting period could be made as part of the external assessment/self-assessment of the Board of Directors of the Company. Nevertheless, the Board of Director underwent no such assessment during the reporting year. Non-compliance is time-limited. On 9 February 2022, the Board of Directors of the Company decided to assess the performance of the Board of Directors and its committees for the corporate year 2021–2022 in the form of a self-assessment.
2.4.1	An adequate number of independer A person shall be qualified as an independent director if he or she has sufficient professional skills, experience and independence to form his/her own opinion, is able to make objective and fair judgments independently of the executive bodies of the company, individual groups of shareholders or other stakeholders. It should be noted here that under normal circumstances a candidate (an elected member of the board of directors) associated with the company, its substantial shareholder, substantial counterparty, competitor or the government cannot be considered independent.	1. In the reporting period, all independent members of the board of directors met all independence criteria specified in recommendations 102–107 of the code or were recognised as independent by the board of directors.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate

In the reporting period, the Board of Directors and the Personnel and Remuneration Committee carried out no assessment of each candidate and member of the Board of Directors. In the reporting year, the Company determined the independence status of the Board of Directors members by criteria specified by the Code. The Company quarterly asked the members of the Board of Directors to submit the information required

The Methodology approved by the Board of Directors established an approximate list of self-assessment

Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company plans to achieve compliance with the element of the Code

In the reporting period, the Board of Directors includes two independent directors, which is less than one

The Company has no opportunity to affect the compliance with this element of the Code.

At the same time, the proportion of independent members sitting on the Board of Directors currently meets the issuer corporate governance requirements established by the Listing Rules of the Moscow Exchange.

The risks associated with incomplete implementation of the Code's recommendations are mitigated

by the effective organisation of independent directors' activities in the Company.

There is no information on the planned increase in the number of nominated independent directors, hence

corporate actions related to a potential conflict of interest only as part of the review of materials of the Strategy Committee of the Board of Directors, and as part of the consideration of materials sent

However, the matters specified in the Corporate Governance Code relating to material actions:

- acquisition of 30 per cent or more of the voting shares of the Company (acquisition)
- are referred to the competence of the Board of Directors or the General Meeting of Shareholders in accordance with applicable law and the Articles of Association of the Company.

Most of these issues (with the exception of share listings and delisting and authorised capital reductions) fall within the remit of the Strategy Committee of the Board of Directors.

During the reporting period, the General Meeting of Shareholders of the Company decided to increase the authorised capital of the Company out of the listed material corporate actions.

In all of these cases, the independent directors preliminarily assessed these corporate actions as part of the consideration of materials for the meeting of the Board of Directors of the Company regarding recommendations to the General Meeting of Shareholders on increasing the Company's authorised capital. The Company plans to include provisions regulating legal relations related to material corporate actions taken by the Company in the Articles of Association and internal documents of the Company.

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
2.5	The chairman of the board of direct to the board of directors are perfor	ors ensures that the functions assigned med as efficiently as possible.		
2.5.1	The board of directors is chaired by an independent director, or a senior independent director is selected from among elected independent directors to coordinate the activities of the independent directors and communicate with the chairman of the board of directors.	 The chairman of the board of directors is an independent director or a senior independent director was selected from among independent directors. The role, rights and duties of the chairman of the board of directors (and, if applicable, of the senior independent director) are properly specified in the company's internal documents. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	Criterion 1 is not complied with. The Chairman of the Board of Directors is not an independent director. The Company's Board of Directors has not selected the Senior Independent Director at the lack of initiative of the Board of Directors members concerning election of the senior independent director. The Company has no opportunity to affect the compliance with the criterion. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company plans to achieve compliance with the element of the Code in the future. Criterion 2 is complied with.
2.5.2	The chairman of the board of directors creates a constructive atmosphere at the meetings, encourages open discussion of agenda items and supervises the implementation of the resolutions adopted by the board of directors.	 The performance of the chairman of the board of directors was assessed as part of the procedure for assessment (self-assessment) of the performance of the board of directors in the reporting period. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	No assessment of the performance of the Board of Directors was carried out in the reporting year. On 9 February 2022, the Board of Directors of the Company decided to carry out a self-assessment of the performance of the Board of Directors and its Committees based on the results of the 2021–2022 corporate year. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company plans to achieve the compliance with the element of the Code, starting from 2022.
2.5.3	The chairman of the board of directors takes necessary measures to ensure timely provision of the members of the board of directors with information required to adopt resolutions on agenda items.	 The duty of the chairman of the board of directors to take measures to ensure timely provision of the members of the board of directors with full and reliable information on agenda items of the meeting of the board of directors is stipulated in the company's internal documents. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
2.6		act reasonably and in good faith in the interests s on the basis of sufficient information,		
2.6.1	In decision-making, members of the board of directors take into consideration all available information, provided that there is no conflict of interest, with equal treatment of the company's shareholders, and within the limits of common business risk.	 The company's internal documents stipulate that a member of the board of directors shall inform the board of directors whether there is a conflict of interest in relation to any issue on the agenda of the meeting of the board of directors or a board committee prior to discussion of that issue. The company's internal documents stipulate that a member of the board of directors shall refrain from voting on any issue in relation to which he or she has a conflict of interest. The company has established a procedure which allows the board of directors to obtain professional advice on issues within its competence at the expense of the company. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	Criterion 1 is complied with. Criterion 2 is complied with. Criterion 3 is complied with partially in terms of the members of the Board of Directors which are also members of the Board Committees. The criterion that allows to a member of the Board of Directors and its Committee to get professional advice on issues concerning his/her competence at Company's expense is contained in the Regulations on the Committees. However, the Regulation on the Board of Directors does not provide for this procedure. The Company intends to amend the Regulation on the Board of Directors of the Company accordingly in the future. Non-compliance is time-limited.
2.6.2	The rights and responsibilities of the members of the board of directors are clearly worded and set out in the company's internal documents.	The company has adopted and published an internal document which clearly determines the rights and responsibilities of the members of the board of directors.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
2.6.3	Members of the board of directors have sufficient time to perform their duties.	 Individual attendance of the meetings of the board of directors and committees, as well as sufficient time to work on the board of directors, including its committees, is analyzed as part of the procedure for assessment (self-assessment) of the board of directors' performance in the reporting period. In accordance with the company's internal documents, members of the board of directors shall inform the board of directors of their intention to join the governing bodies of any other organisations (apart from organisations controlled by the company), and of the fact of such an appointment. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	Criterion 1 is not complied with. The performance assessment of the Board of Directors was not conducted in the reporting year. The approved Methodology for the assessment of the Board of Directors and its Committees provides for an analysis of the Board of Directors' activities, including individual attendance at meetings of the Board of Directors and its Committees and time devoted to preparation for participation. High level of attendance of members of the Board of Directors in the reporting year confirms that the members of the Board of Directors have enough time to execute their duties. The non-compliance is time-limited. On 9 February 2022, the Board of Directors of the Company decided to evaluate the performance of the Board of Directors and its Committees for the corporate year 2021–2022 in the form of a self-assessment. Criterion 2 is not complied with. The internal documents do not stipulate that members of the Board of Directors shall inform the Board of Directors of their intention to join the governing bodies of any other organisations (apart from organisations controlled by or affiliated with the Company), and of the fact of such an appointment. However, in a real-case scenario, the Company: Quarterly requests the data on held positions from the members of the governing bodies Monitors open sources of information on joining the members of the Company's Board of Directors to the governing or control bodies of other organisations on a regular basis Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company plans to achieve compliance with the indicated recommendation of the Code in the future.
2.6.4	All members of the board of directors have equal access to the company's documents and information. Newly elected members of the board of directors are provided with sufficient information on the company and the work of the board of directors in the shortest time possible.	 In accordance with the company's internal documents, the members of the board of directors have the right to obtain information and documents necessary for the members of the board of directors to perform their duties relating to the company and its controlled entities, and the executive bodies of the company should ensure that relevant information and documents are provided. The company runs a formalised induction programme for newly elected members of the board of directors. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
2.7		preparation for them and participation of the members etings enable efficient work of the board of directors.		
2.7.1	Meetings of the board of directors are held when necessary, given the scale of the company's operations and challenges facing the company at any particular time.	The board of directors held at least six meetings in the reporting year.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	

not compliant

is time-limited.

Criteria 2-4 are complied with.

was insufficient to make up the Audit Committee solely from independent directors.

The Company plans to achieve compliance with the element of the Code in the future.

The Company has no opportunity to affect the compliance with the criterion. Non-compliance

been established for preliminary

consideration of any issues related

to the monitoring of the company's

financial and business operations.

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
2.7.2	The company's internal documents set out a procedure for preparing and holding meetings of the board of directors ensuring that the members of the board of directors are able to prepare for them properly.	 The company has approved an internal document which determines the procedure for preparing and holding meetings of the board of directors and stipulates, among other things, that notification of the meeting shall be generally given at least five days before the date of such meeting. During the reporting period, the members of the board of directors who were not present at the meeting venue were given the opportunity to participate in the discussion of agenda items and vote remotely via conference calls and video conferencing. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
2.7.3	The format of the meeting of the board of directors depends on the importance of agenda items. The most important issues are addressed at in-person meetings.	 The company's articles of association or internal documents stipulate that the most important issues (including those listed in recommendation 168 of the Code) should be considered at in-person meetings of the board of directors. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	The list of issues that must be considered by the Board of Directors at in-person meetings is set out in the Regulation on the Board of Directors and does not completely correspond to the list given in recommendation 168 of the Code. At the same time, according to this Regulation, the form of a meeting of the Board of Directors is determined by the Chairman of the Company's Board of Directors, in recognition of the importance of agenda items. The Company has no opportunity to affect the compliance with the criterion. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company plans to achieve compliance with the element of the Code in the future.
2.7.4	Resolutions concerning the most important issues of the company's business are adopted at the meeting of the board of directors by a qualified majority or by a majority of votes cast by all elected members of the board of directors.	1. The company's articles of association stipulate that resolutions concerning the most important issues specified in recommendation 170 of the Code shall be adopted at a meeting of the board of directors by a qualified majority comprising at least 3/4 of votes, or by a majority of votes cast by all elected members of the board of directors.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	The Company's Articles of Associations do not stipulate that resolutions concerning the most important issues specified in recommendation 170 of the Code shall be adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors by a qualified majority comprising at least three quarters of votes, or by a majority of votes cast by all elected members of the Board of Directors. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company plans to achieve compliance with this recommendation of the Code in the future by submitting proposals to shareholders to amend the Company's Articles of Association accordingly. After the said amendments to the Articles of Association of the Company, the Company will fully comply with this recommendation. The risks associated with incomplete implementation of the Code's recommendations are mitigated by the traditionally high attendance of the members of the Board of Directors and the Company's decision-making procedures: decisions made by the Board of Directors are based on the consensus of all members of the Board of Director, and key decisions are worked out in advance within the committees of the Board of Directors.
2.8	The board of directors establishes of the most important issues relate	committees for preliminary consideration ed to the company's business.		
2.8.1	An audit committee consisting of independent directors has	The board of directors has formed the audit committee consisting of independent directors only.	compliant partially compliant	Criterion 1 is not complied with. The Audit Committee has one Independent Director who is the Chairman of the Committee. In the reporting year, the number of independent members of the Board of Directors

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Appendices

2. The company's internal documents set out the duties of the audit committee,

including, among other things, those specified in recommendation 172

3. At least one member of the audit committee who is an independent director

The meetings of the audit committee were held at least once a quarter in the reporting period.

has experience in and knowledge of preparation, analysis, evaluation and audit of financial statements.

of the Code.

Appendices

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate No. Corporate governance principles Status of the compliance governance principle with a corporate governance principle 2.8.2 A remuneration committee Criterion 1 is partially complied with. compliant 1. The board of directors has formed the remuneration committee consisting consisting of independent directors partially compliant The Company has established the Personnel and Remuneration Committee. Independent Directors are not of independent directors only. and chaired by an independent not compliant involved in its membership. 2. The chairman of the remuneration committee is an independent director who director who is not the chairman Criterion 2 is not complied with is not the chair of the board of directors. of the board of directors has The Personnel and Remuneration Committee is chaired by a person who is not the Chairman of the Board 3. The company's internal documents determine the tasks of the remuneration been established for preliminary of Directors. committee, including but not limited to the tasks covered by recommendation consideration of any issues related Criterion 3 is partially complied with. 180 of the Code, as well as the conditions (events), upon the occurrence of which to the establishment of an efficient The tasks of the Human Resources and Remuneration Committee are set out in the Regulations the remuneration committee considers revision of the company's remuneration and transparent remuneration on the Human Resources and Remuneration Committee of the Board of Directors, but the Regulations do policy for the members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other key practice. not contain the tasks covered by recommendation 180 of the Code. executives. The Company plans to achieve compliance with this element of the Code in the future by submitting proposals to the Company's shareholders for appropriate amendments to these Regulations. Non-compliance is time-limited. 2.8.3 A nomination (appointment, compliant Criterion 1 is partially complied with. The Company has established the Personnel and Remuneration 1. The board of directors has established the nomination committee (or another personnel) committee consisting partially compliant Committee. Independent Directors are not involved in its membership. committee performs its duties specified in recommendation 186 of the Code) mostly of independent directors has not compliant Criterion 2 is partially complied with. Out of the tasks specified in the recommendations of the Code, consisting mostly of independent directors. the Personnel and Remuneration Committee is responsible only for the following: determining been established for preliminary 2. The company's internal documents set out the duties of the nomination the criteria for selection of candidates to the Board of Directors, Management Board and the position consideration of any issues related committee (or another committee with shared functions), including those to workforce planning (succession specified in recommendation 186 of the Code. of the sole executive body of the Company, as well as their preliminary assessment; regular assessment 3. In the reporting period, in order to form the board of directors that best meets planning), professional composition of the performance of the person running the functions of the sole executive body and members the company's goals and objectives, the nomination committee organised and performance of the board of the Management Board; preparing a proposal for the Board of Directors on the possibility to reappoint interaction with shareholders, not limited to the largest shareholders, of directors. in the context of selecting candidates to the board of directors of the company, The Company has no opportunity to influence upon compliance with the criteria indicated. either individually or jointly with other committees of the board of directors or Non-compliance is time-limited. the company's authorised subdivision in charge of relations with shareholders. The Company plans to achieve compliance with the indicated recommendation of the Code in the future.

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
2.8.4	Given the scale of business and the risk level, the company's board of directors has made sure that the membership of its committees meets all objectives of the company's operations. Additional committees have been either formed or considered unnecessary (the strategy committee, the corporate governance committee, the ethics committee, the risk management committee, the budget committee, the health, safety and environment committee, etc.).	In the reporting period, the company's board of directors considered whether the structure of the board of directors is appropriate to the scope, nature, business goals, needs, and risk profile of the company. Additional committees have been either formed or considered unnecessary.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	In the reporting period, the Board of Directors did not consider whether the membership of its Committees was consistent with the duties of the Board of Directors and the objectives of the Company's operations due to absence of practice regarding considering this issue. The Company has in place five Committees of the Board of Directors: Audit Committee, Strategy Committee, Personnel and Remuneration Committee, Reliability Committee, and Grid Connection Committee. The key objectives of the activities, competences and powers of the Committees, as well as procedure of their formation and operation are determined by the Regulations on the Committees approved by the Board of Directors. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company plans to achieve compliance with the indicated recommendation of the Code in the future.
2.8.5	The membership of committees is determined so that it would enable a comprehensive discussion of issues for preliminary consideration, taking into account different opinions.	 The audit committee, remuneration committee, and nomination committee (or the relevant committee with a combined function) were chaired by independent directors in the reporting period. The company's internal documents (policies) contain provisions whereby persons who are not members of the audit committee, the nomination committee or the remuneration committee (or the relevant committee with a combined function) may only attend meetings of the committees by invitation of the chairman of the relevant committee. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	Criterion 1 is complied with relative to the Audit Committee only. Criterion 2 is partially complied with. It is complied with relative to the Audit Committee. Pursuant to Paragraph 10.4.25 of the Regulation on the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, the third parties can be invited by the Chairman of the Committee to attend a meeting. The criterion is not complied with relative to the Personnel and Remuneration Committee. Pursuant to Paragraph 10.2.1 of the Regulation on the Personnel and Remuneration Committee, in-person meetings of the Committee can be attended by the members of the Committee, and invited persons as well. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company plans to achieve compliance with the indicated recommendation of the Code in the future.
2.8.6	The chairmen of the committees regularly inform the board of directors and its chairman on the performance of their committees.	In the reporting period, the chairmen of the committees regularly reported to the board of directors on the performance of their committees.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
2.9	The board of directors arranges ar its committees and members.	assessment of the performance of the board of directors,		
2.9.1	The performance assessment of the board of directors is aimed at determining the level of efficiency the of the board of directors, its committees and members, whether their work meets the company's development needs, as well intensifying the work of the board of directors and identifying opportunities for the improvement of its performance.	 The company's internal documents stipulate the procedures for assessing (self-assessing) the board of directors' performance. The assessment (self-assessment) of the board of directors' performance carried out in the reporting period included an assessment of the work of the committees, an individual assessment of each member of the board of directors and the board of directors as a whole. The results of the assessment (self-assessment) of the board of directors' performance carried out during the reporting period were reviewed at an in-person meeting of the board of directors. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	Criteria 2 and 3 were not complied with. Criteria 2 and 3 were not complied with. No assessment (self-assessment) of the Board of Directors' performance was carried out in the reporting year. Non-compliance is time-limited. The company plans to achieve compliance with this recommendation of the Code from 2022 onwards.

ROSSETI KUBAN, PJSC

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
2.9.2	The performance of the board of directors, committees and members of the board of directors is assessed on a regular basis at least once a year. an external organisation (consultant) is engaged at least once every three years to carry out an independent assessment of the board of directors' performance.	An external organisation (consultant) was engaged to perform an independent assessment of the board of directors' performance at least once during the last three reporting periods.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	An external organisation (consultant) was not engaged to perform an independent assessment of the Board of Directors' performance during the last three reporting periods. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company plans to achieve compliance with the indicated recommendation of the Code in 2024.
3.1	The company's corporate secretary	y facilitates efficient ongoing communication with shareholders, coordinates the company's efforts aimed	d at protecting the shareholders' rig	hts and interests and supports efficient work of the board of directors.
3.1.1	The corporate secretary has knowledge, expertise and qualification sufficient for performing his or her duties; he or she should also have an excellent reputation and find shareholders' credence.	 The company's website and the annual report contain biographical information on the corporate secretary (including information on age, education, qualifications, experience), as well as information on positions in management bodies of other legal entities held by the corporate secretary for at least the last five years. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
3.1.2	The corporate secretary is sufficiently independent from the company's executive bodies and has the necessary powers and resources to carry out his or her tasks.	 The company adopted and disclosed an internal document: the regulations on the corporate secretary. The board of directors approves the candidate for the position of corporate secretary, terminates his/her powers and considers the payment of additional remuneration to him/her. The company's internal documents stipulate the corporate secretary's right to request and receive company documents and information from management bodies, subdivisions and officers of the company. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
4.1		by the company is sufficient for attracting, motivating and retaining employees who have the competence accordance with the remuneration policy adopted by the company.	and qualification required by the c	ompany. Remuneration is paid to the members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other
4.1.1	Remuneration paid by the company to the members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other key executives is sufficient to motivate them to work efficiently, enabling the company to attract and retain competent and qualified specialists. At the same time, the company avoids paying remuneration that is larger than necessary and seeks to prevent an unreasonably large gap between the amounts of remuneration paid to the said persons and the company's employees.	Remuneration of members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other key executives of the company is determined based on the results of a comparative analysis of remuneration levels in comparable companies.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	

ANNUAL REPORT — 2021

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
4.1.2	The company's remuneration policy has been developed by the remuneration committee and approved by the board of directors. The board of directors supported by the remuneration committee monitors the adoption and implementation of the remuneration policy in the company and, if necessary, revises it and makes adjustments to it.	 During the reporting period, the remuneration committee reviewed the remuneration policy (policies) and (or) practices of its (their) implementation, assessed their efficiency and transparency, and, if necessary, submitted respective recommendations to the board of directors for revision of the said policy (policies). 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
4.1.3	The company's remuneration policy includes transparent mechanisms for determining the amount of remuneration for the members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other key executives of the company; in addition, it regulates all types of payments, benefits and privileges provided to the said persons	 The remuneration policy (policies) of the company includes (include) transparent mechanisms for determining the amount of remuneration for the members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other key executives of the company; in addition, it (they) regulates (regulate) all types of payments, benefits and privileges provided to the said persons. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
4.1.4	The company formulates the policy on reimbursement of expenses (compensation), which defines the expenses to be reimbursed and the service level, which may be provided to members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other key executives of the company. This policy may constitute a part of the company's remuneration policy.	 The remuneration policy (policies) or other internal documents of the company establish the procedures for reimbursement of expenses incurred by members of the board of directors, executive bodies and other key executives of the company. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
4.2	•	e members of the board of directors ensures that the directors' n the long-term financial interests of shareholders.		
4.2.1	The company pays fixed annual remuneration to the members of the board of directors. The company does not pay remuneration for participating in individual meetings of the board of directors or committees under the board of directors. The company does not offer short-term or additional financial incentives to the members of the board of directors.	 In the reporting period, the company paid remuneration to the members of the board of directors in accordance with the remuneration policy adopted by the company. In the reporting period, the company did not apply any forms of short-term motivation or additional material incentives to the members of the board of directors, the payment of which depends on the results (indicators) of the company's performance. No remuneration was paid for participation in individual meetings of the board of directors or the committees under the board of directors. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
4.3.3	The amount of compensation ('golden parachute') paid by the company in the event of early dismissal of members of executive bodies or key executives on the company's initiative and in the absence of wrongdoings on their part does not exceed twice the size of the fixed component of annual remuneration.	 The amount of compensation ('golden parachute') paid by the company in the event of early dismissal of members of executive bodies or key executives on the company's initiative and in the absence of wrongdoings on their part did not exceed twice the size of the fixed component of annual remuneration in the reporting period. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
5.1	The company has created an effect that the company would achieve its	ive risk management and internal control system aimed at providing reasonable assurance s goals.		
5.1.1	The board of directors has established the principles of and approaches to organising a risk management and internal control system in the company.	 Functions of the company's various governing bodies and divisions within the risk management and internal control system are clearly defined in internal documents / the relevant policy of the company approved by the board of directors. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
5.1.2	The company's executive bodies ensure the creation and support of an efficient risk management and internal control system in the company.	 The company's executive bodies have ensured the distribution of duties, powers and responsibility in the sphere of risk management and internal control among heads of subdivisions and divisions accountable to them. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
5.1.3	The risk management and internal control system of the company gives a fair, objective and clear picture of the current situation in the company and its prospects and ensures integrity and transparency of the company's statements. it also ensures that risks taken by the company are reasonable and acceptable.	 The company has the anti-corruption policy approved. The company has developed a convenient, safe and confidential method (hotline) for informing the board of directors or its audit committee about violations of the law, internal procedures or the corporate code of ethics. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
5.1.4	The company's board of directors takes necessary measures to make sure that the company's risk management and internal control system is in line with the principles of and approaches to its organisation formulated by the board of directors and that it functions efficiently.	 During the reporting period, the board of directors (audit committee and/or risk committee, if any) organised an assessment of the reliability and effectiveness of the risk management and internal control systems. During the reporting period, the board of directors reviewed the results of the assessment of the reliability and efficiency of the company's risk management and internal control systems; information on the results of the review is included in the company's annual report. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
5.2		dit in order to make an independent and systematic assessment If the risk management and internal control system and		
5.2.1	To conduct internal audit, the company has created a separate subdivision or has engaged an independent third-party organisation. Functional accountability and administrative accountability of the internal audit subdivision are delineated. The internal audit subdivision is functionally accountable to the board of directors.	 To conduct internal audit, the company has created a separate subdivision responsible for internal audit which is functionally accountable to the board of directors, or an independent third-party organisation has been engaged following the same accountability principles. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
5.2.2	The internal audit subdivision assesses the reliability and effectiveness of the risk management and internal control systems as well as the corporate governance, and applies generally accepted internal auditing standards.	 During the reporting period, internal audit assessed the reliability and effectiveness of the risk management and internal control system. During the reporting period, internal audit assessed corporate governance practices (individual practices), including information interaction procedures (along with those related to internal control and risk management) at all management levels of the company, and interaction with stakeholders as well. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
6.1	The company and its operations are investors and other stakeholders.	e transparent to shareholders,		
6.1.1	The company has developed and implemented an information policy ensuring effective communication between the company, its shareholders, investors and other stakeholders.	 The board of directors has approved the company's information policy developed taking into account the recommendations of the Code. During the reporting period, the board of directors (or one of its committees) considered the efficiency of information exchange between the company, shareholders, investors and other stakeholders and the expediency (need) to revise the company's information policy. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
6.1.2	The company discloses information on the system and practice of corporate governance, including detailed information on compliance with the principles and recommendations of the Code.	 The company discloses information on its corporate governance system and general corporate governance principles used by it, including disclosure of the same on the company's website. The company discloses information on the membership of executive bodies and the board of directors, independence of its members and their membership in the committees of the board of directors (as defined in the Code). If there is an entity controlling the company, the company publishes a memorandum of this entity detailing plans of such entity concerning corporate governance in the company. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
6.2		sive, up-to-date and accurate information on the company as shareholders and investors are able to make informed decisions.		
6.2.1	The company discloses information in accordance with the principles of regularity, consistency and promptness, as well as availability, accuracy, comprehensiveness and comparability of data disclosed.	 The company has established a procedure that ensures coordination of work of all subdivisions and employees of the company who are related to disclosure of information or whose activities may result in the need to disclose information. If the company's securities are traded on foreign organised markets, the disclosure of significant information in the Russian Federation and on such markets is simultaneous and equivalent during the reporting year. If foreign shareholders own a considerable number of shares in the company, information was disclosed not only in Russian, but also in one of the prevailing foreign languages during the reporting year. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	Criterion 1 is complied with. Criteria 2 and 3 are not applicable to the Company.

ANNUAL REPORT — 2021

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

ROSSETI KUBAN, PJSC

No.		Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
7.1	and financial position and, according	stantial impact on the company's authorised capital structure yly, on the shareholders' position (significant table terms safeguarding the rights and interests holders.		
7.1.1	Significant corporate actions include reorganisation of the company, purchase of 30 or more percent of the company's voting shares (acquisition), making major transactions, an increase or reduction of the authorised capital of the company, listing and delisting of the company's shares, as well as other actions that may result in a significant change of shareholders' rights or infringement of their interests. The company's articles of association provides a list (criteria) of transactions or other actions constituting significant corporate actions, and such actions fall within the competence of the company's board of directors.	1. The company's articles of association define a list (criteria) of transactions or other actions that constitute significant corporate actions. Making decisions about significant corporate actions is within the competence of the board of directors, according to the company's articles of association. In cases when the legislation specifically states that the exercise of corporate actions falls within the competence of the general meeting of shareholders, the board of directors provides the shareholders with the relevant recommendations.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	The Company's Articles of Associations does not provide a list of transactions or other actions constituting significant corporate actions, nor establishes criteria for their definition. However, decision-making on matters relating to material actions referred to in recommendation 303 of the Code (e.g. reorganisation of the Company, increase/decrease in the authorised capital of the Company, decision-making on the participation of the Company in other organisations, decision-making on applying for delisting of the Company's shares and (or) equity securities convertible into its shares, decision-making on consent or subsequent approval of major transactions, approval of other transactions of the Company and its controlled entities) in accordance with the current legislation and the Articles of Association of the Company are the competence of the Board of Directors or the General Meeting of Shareholders. When any matters, including significant corporate actions, are put to shareholders at a shareholders' meeting, the Board of Directors will make recommendations to shareholders accordingly. The Company plans to include provisions in the Articles of Association and internal documents of the Company regulating in detail the procedure for taking significant corporate actions by the Company.
7.1.2	The board of directors plays a key role in making decisions or recommendations with regard to significant corporate actions; the board of directors relies on the opinion of independent directors of the company.	The company has established a procedure whereby independent directors express their opinions on significant corporate actions before those actions are approved.	compliant partially compliant not compliant	This procedure is not set out in the internal documents of the Company. The Company plans to include provisions in the Articles of Association and internal documents of the Company regulating the possibility for Independent Directors to state their position on significant corporate actions prior to approval of the same. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company plans to achieve compliance with the element of the Code in the future.
7.1.3	When making significant corporate actions affecting the rights and legitimate interests of shareholders, equal conditions are provided for all shareholders of the company, and in case of insufficiency of statutory mechanisms aimed at the protection of shareholders, additional measures are taken to protect the rights and legitimate interests of shareholders of the company. At the same time, the company is guided not only by compliance with the formal requirements of the law but also by the corporate governance principles set out in the Code.	 The company's Articles of Association, taking into account the peculiarities of the company's operations, stipulate that the competence of the board of directors shall include approval of other transactions that are significant to the company, in addition to those provided for by law. During the reporting period, all significant corporate actions were approved prior to their implementation. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	

to operate due to the increased number of transactions recognised as related-party transactions. In addition, in view of the current lack of relevant guidance and/or explanations from the regulator, it is difficult to determine cases of actual interest other than those provided for in the Federal Law On Joint

Stock Companies.

No.	Corporate governance principles	Assessment Criteria for the Compliance with the Corporate Governance Principle	Status of the compliance with a corporate governance principle	Explanations of a deviation from the assessment criteria for the compliance with the corporate governance principle
7.2	The company ensures that significant corporate actions are taken in a manner that enables the shareholders to receive full information on such actions, provides them with an opportunity to influence such actions and guarantees that their rights are observed and properly protected when such actions are taken.			
7.2.1	Information on significant corporate actions is disclosed, and an explanation of the reasons, conditions and consequences of such actions is provided.	 If the company performed significant corporate actions during the reporting period, the company disclosed information on such actions in a timely and detailed manner, including disclosing the reasons, conditions and consequences of such actions for shareholders. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	
7.2.2	The rules and procedures for the implementation of significant corporate actions are set forth in the company's internal documents.	 The company's internal documents determine the cases and procedure for engaging a appraiser to determine the value of property to be alienated or acquired under a major transaction or a related-party transaction. The company's internal documents establish the procedure for engaging an appraiser to carry out an assessment of the company's shares, for the purposes of purchase or buyback. If there is no formal interest of a member of the board of directors, the sole executive body, member of the collegial executive body of the company or an entity being a controlling entity of the company or an entity entitled to give instructions binding on the company in transactions of the company, but if there is a conflict of interest or other actual interest, the internal documents of the company provide that such entities shall not participate in voting on approval of such transaction. 	compliant partially compliant not compliant	Criterion 1 is complied with. Criterion 2 is complied with. Criterion 3 is not complied with. The Company will consider a possibility of corresponding changes development in case of necessity. Non-compliance is time-limited. The Company plans to achieve compliance with the element of the Code in the future. If there is no formal interest of a member of the Board of Directors, the sole executive body, member of the collegial executive body of the Company or an entity being a controlling entity of the Company or an entity entitled to give instructions binding on the Company in transactions of the Company, but if there is a conflict of interest or other actual interest, the internal documents of the Company, but if there is a conflict of interest or other actual interest, the internal documents of the Company, but if there is a conflict of entities to participate in voting on approval of such transaction. Given the nature of the activities of the Rosseti Group, which includes the Company, transactions with legal entities controlled by PJSC Rosseti Kuban, as well as with the Company's controlling entity (PJSC Rosseti) and other entities controlled by PJSC Rosseti, are related-party transactions. Legislation is currently moving towards simplifying the procedure for companies to enter into related-party transactions, as well as reducing the overall number of transactions recognised as related-party transactions. Thus, Federal Law No. 343-FZ dated 3 July 2016 introduced since 1 January 2017 a new procedure for interested-party transactions that are not recognised as interested-party transactions, including those with a price threshold, until which transactions can be concluded without the corporate actions provided for in Chapter XI of Federal Law No. 208-FZ dated 26 December 1995 On Joint Stock Companies. In the Company's opinion, the formal interest criteria established by the Federal Law On Joint Stock Companies and, accordingly, the related cases of non-participation in voting on the approval of transactions are s